



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/627,252	07/28/2000	Joseph Skeffington Wholey III	07470-050001	2390
7:	590 11/22/2004		EXAMINER	
Kenyon S Jenckes			AMINI, JAVID A	
Fish & Richardson PC 4350 La Jolla Village Drive Suite 500 San Diago, CA 92122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	
Sali Diago, Ch	1 92122			

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/627,252	WHOLEY III ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Javid A Amini	2672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set of the period of the control of the	ddvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of						
 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	ecause:						
(a) \(\sum \) they raise new issues that would require furthe	,	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approxim	oved or b) disconnected by the	ho Evominos					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer O. Other:	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	JEFFERY BRIEN PRIMARY EXAMINER					
		Javid A Amini Examiner Art Unit: 2672					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed changes to section (f) of claim 1 "modifying the application represented by the graph" raised new issue..